SAMPLE BALLOT **OFFICIAL BALLOT** PRECINCT 140017-REGNP **GENERAL ELECTION** November 06, 2018 **CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA** Page 1/2 TO VOTE: **DISTRICT 4** FOR INSURANCE FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR **COMMISSIONER** Shall YVONNE KAUGER of the OKLAHOMA CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS: SUPREME COURT be retained in office? (Vote for One) GLEN MULREADY **REPUBLICAN** KIMBERLY FOBBS Correct Incorrect **DEMOCRAT** FOR CORPORATION STRAIGHT PARTY **COMMISSIONER** A straight party vote is a vote for all (Vote for One) candidates of that party in partisan races. BOB ANTHONY **REPUBLICAN STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING ASHLEY NICOLE McCRA** (Vote for One) **DEMOCRAT** LIBERTARIAN JACKIE SHORT INDEPENDENT REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL OFFICER DEMOCRATIC FOR UNITED STATES **REPRESENTATIVE** STATE OFFICERS **DISTRICT 04** (Vote for One) FOR GOVERNOR TOM COLE (Vote for One) REPUBLICAN CHRIS POWELL **MARY BRANNON** LIBERTARIAN **DEMOCRAT** KEVIN STITT **RUBY PETERS REPUBLICAN** INDEPENDENT DREW EDMONDSON DEMOCRAT LEGISLATIVE, DISTRICT, AN FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR COUNTY OFFICERS (Vote for One) MATT PINNELL **REPUBLICAN** FOR STATE SENATOR **DISTRICT 24 ANASTASIA A. PITTMAN DEMOCRAT** (Vote for One) IVAN HOLMES DARRELL WEAVER INDEPENDENT REPUBLICAN FOR STATE AUDITOR AND **INSPECTOR** (Vote for One) JOHN YEUTTER LIBERTARIAN CINDY BYRD REPUBLICAN FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One) MIKE HUNTER REPUBLICAN

MARK MYLES DEMOCRAT

 RANDY McDANIEL REPUBLICAN
 CHARLES de COUNE

FOR STATE TREASURER

(Vote for One)

FOR SUPERINTENDENT OF				
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION				
(Vote for One)				
IOV HOEMEISTER				

JOY HOFMEISTER REPUBLICAN	
JOHN COX DEMOCRAT	

INDEPENDENT	
FOR COMMISSIONER OF	
LABOR	
(Vote for One)	
TI FOLIF CODODNI	

(Vote for One)
LESLIE OSBORN REPUBLICAN
FRED DORRELL DEMOCRAT
BRANDT DISMUKES INDEPENDENT

RENÉE JERDEN DEMOCRAT
FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONER DISTRICT NO. 1 (Vote for One)
ROD CLEVELAND REPUBLICAN
WILL McCUTCHEON INDEPENDENT
FOR COUNTY SHERIFF (UNEXPIRED TERM) (Vote for One)
TODD GIBSON REPUBLICAN
KEVIN HAMMOND DEMOCRAT
JUDICIAL RETENTION
Vote separately on each justice or judge; they are not running against each other.
they are not running against each other. JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA
JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT
JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 2 Shall PATRICK WYRICK of the OKLAHOMA
JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 2 Shall PATRICK WYRICK of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?
JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 2 Shall PATRICK WYRICK of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office? YES
They are not running against each other. JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 2 Shall PATRICK WYRICK of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 3 Shall NOMA D. GURICH of the OKLAHOMA

	YES
	NO
—	DISTRICT 7 Shall JAMES E. EDMONDSON of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?
Υ	YES NO
_	JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
s	DISTRICT 1
=	Shall DANA KUEHN of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?
	YES NO
	DISTRICT 4
	Shall SCOTT ROWLAND of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?
_	YES
D	□ NO
	DISTRICT 5
=	Shall DAVID B. LEWIS of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?
	YES NO
_	JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
R	DISTRICT 4 - OFFICE 1
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES YES YES
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES YES YES
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1 Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1 Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO NO DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1 Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO
	Shall BARBARA GREEN SWINTON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 1 Shall KENNETH L. BUETTNER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 5 - OFFICE 2 Shall ROBERT BOBBY BELL of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1 Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES NO DISTRICT 6 - OFFICE 1 Shall E. BAY MITCHELL, III of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office? YES



OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 06, 2018
CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Page 2/2

STATE QUESTIONS

STATE QUESTION NO. 793 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 415

This measure adds a new Section 3 to Article 20 of the Oklahoma Constitution. Under the new Section, no law shall infringe on optometrists' or opticians' ability to practice within a retail mercantile establishment, discriminate against optometrists or opticians based on the location of their practice, or require external entrances for optometric offices within retail mercantile establishments. No law shall infringe on retail mercantile establishments' ability to sell prescription optical goods and services. The Section allows the Legislature to restrict optometrists from performing surgeries within retail mercantile establishments, limit number of locations at which an optometrist may practice, maintain optometric licensing requirements, require optometric offices to be in a separate room of a retail mercantile establishment, and impose health and safety standards. It does not prohibit optometrists and opticians from agreeing with retail mercantile establishments to limit their practice. Laws conflicting with this Section are void. The Section defines 'laws,' 'optometrist,' 'optician,' 'optical goods and services,' and 'retail mercantile establishment.'

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	
------------------------	--

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 794 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 371

This measure amends the provision of the Oklahoma Constitution that guarantees certain rights for crime victims. These rights would now be protected in a manner equal to the defendant's rights. The measure would also make changes to victims' rights, including: (1) expanding the court proceedings at which a victim has the right to be heard;

- (2) adding a right to reasonable protection;(3) adding a right to proceedings free from unreasonable delay;
- (4) adding a right to talk with the prosecutor; and
- (5) allowing victims to refuse interview requests from the defendant's attorney without a subpoena.

The Oklahoma Constitution currently grants victims' rights to crime victims and their family members. This measure would instead grant these rights to crime victims and those directly harmed by the crime. Victims would no longer have a constitutional right to know the defendant's location following arrest, during prosecution, and while sentenced to confinement or probation, but would have the right to be notified of the defendant's release or escape from custody.

Under this measure, victims would have these rights in both adult and juvenile proceedings. Victims would be able to assert these rights in court and the court would be required to act promptly.

F	OR T	HE PR	OPOS	AL -	YES
---	------	-------	------	------	-----

AGAINST THE PRO	POSAL - NO
-----------------	------------

STATE QUESTION NO. 798 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 372

This measure will add a provision to the Oklahoma Constitution to change the manner in which the Governor and Lieutenant Governor are elected. Currently, voters cast one vote for their preferred candidate for Governor and a separate vote for their preferred candidate for Lieutenant Governor. Under this measure, if approved, candidates for Governor and Lieutenant Governor from the same party will run together on a single ticket and voters will cast one vote for their preferred ticket.

The measure requires the Legislature to establish procedures for the joint nomination and election of candidates for Governor and Lieutenant Governor. If passed, this new election format will be used beginning in the 2026 general election cycle.

	FOR	THE	PROF	POSAL	-	YES
--	-----	-----	------	-------	---	-----

AGAINST	THE PROPOSAL - NO	

STATE QUESTION NO. 800 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 373

This measure would add a provision to the Oklahoma Constitution creating a new trust fund. This fund would consist of (i) legislative appropriations, (ii) deposits from other sources, and (iii) investment income. Beginning July 1, 2020, 5% of revenues from the gross production tax on oil and gas will be deposited into the fund as well. The percentage of gross production tax revenues deposited into the fund will then increase by 0.2% per year.

Monies in the fund will be invested by the State Treasurer. The fund is exempt from constitutional restrictions on the State owning stock. The State Treasurer is required to make prudent investment decisions and diversify the fund's investments to minimize risk. After July 1, 2020, 4% of the fund's principal will be deposited each year into the State's General Revenue Fund. Principal will be calculated by using an average of the fund's annual principal for the five years before the deposit. No more than 5% of the Fund may be used to pay interest on bonds issued by the State or local governments. The fund will be called the Oklahoma Vision Fund.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES
Е	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 801 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM

NO. 374

This measure would provide a means for voters to allow school districts to expand the permissible uses of ad valorem tax revenues to include school operations.

The Oklahoma Constitution limits the rate of ad valorem taxation. However, it permits voters in a school district to approve an increase of up to five mills (\$5.00 per \$1,000.00 of the assessed value of taxable property) over this limit for the purpose of raising money for a school district building fund

Currently, monies from this building fund may only be used to build, repair, or remodel school buildings and purchase furniture. This measure would amend the Constitution to permit voters to approve such a tax to be used for school operations deemed necessary by the school district, in addition to the purposes listed above.

F	OR THE	PROPO	SAL - YE	S
	GAINST	THE PR	OPOSAL	- NC

SAMPLE

