

TO VOTE:
 FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR
 CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:



Correct

Incorrect

STRAIGHT PARTY

A straight party vote is a vote for all
 candidates of that party in partisan races.

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(Vote for One)

REPUBLICAN 

LIBERTARIAN 

DEMOCRATIC 

PRESIDENTIAL

**ELECTORS FOR
 PRESIDENT
 AND VICE PRESIDENT**

(Vote for One)

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 DONALD J. TRUMP
 MICHAEL R. PENCE**
 REPUBLICAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 JO JORGENSEN
 JEREMY SPIKE COHEN**
 LIBERTARIAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 JOSEPH R. BIDEN
 KAMALA D. HARRIS**
 DEMOCRAT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 JADE SIMMONS
 CLAUDELIAH J. ROZE**
 INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 KANYE WEST
 MICHELLE TIDBALL**
 INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
 VICE PRESIDENT
 BROCK PIERCE
 KARLA BALLARD**
 INDEPENDENT

STATE OFFICERS

**FOR CORPORATION
 COMMISSIONER**

(Vote for One)

TODD HIETT
 REPUBLICAN

TODD HAGOPIAN
 LIBERTARIAN

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

**FOR UNITED STATES
 SENATOR**

(Vote for One)

JIM INHOFE
 REPUBLICAN

ROBERT MURPHY
 LIBERTARIAN

ABBY BROYLES
 DEMOCRAT

JOAN FARR
 INDEPENDENT

A. D. NESBIT
 INDEPENDENT

**FOR UNITED STATES
 REPRESENTATIVE
 DISTRICT 04**

(Vote for One)

TOM COLE
 REPUBLICAN

BOB WHITE
 LIBERTARIAN

MARY BRANNON
 DEMOCRAT

**LEGISLATIVE, DISTRICT, AND
 COUNTY OFFICERS**

FOR COUNTY SHERIFF

(Vote for One)

CHRIS AMASON
 REPUBLICAN

KELLY OWINGS
 INDEPENDENT

**FOR COUNTY
 COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
 NO. 2**

(Vote for One)

DARRY STACY
 REPUBLICAN

MICHAEL D. THOMAS
 DEMOCRAT

JUDICIAL RETENTION

Vote separately on each justice or judge;
 they are not running against each other.

**JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA
 SUPREME COURT**

**SUPREME COURT
 DISTRICT 1**

Shall MATTHEW JOHN KANE, IV of the
 OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in
 office?

YES

NO

**SUPREME COURT
 DISTRICT 6**

Shall TOM COLBERT of the OKLAHOMA
 SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

**SUPREME COURT
 DISTRICT 9**

Shall RICHARD B. DARBY of the OKLAHOMA
 SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA
 COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CRIMINAL
 APPEALS DISTRICT 2**

Shall ROBERT L. HUDSON of the
 OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL
 APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CRIMINAL
 APPEALS DISTRICT 3**

Shall GARY L. LUMPKIN of the OKLAHOMA
 COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained
 in office?

YES

NO

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA
 COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
 DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2**

Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the OKLAHOMA
 COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in
 office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
 DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1**

Shall DEBORAH B. BARNES of the
 OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
 retained in office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
 DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2**

Shall KEITH RAPP of the OKLAHOMA
 COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in
 office?

YES

NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

STATE QUESTIONS

**STATE QUESTION NO. 805
INITIATIVE PETITION NO.
421**

This measure seeks to add a new Article II-A to the Oklahoma Constitution. This new Article excepts and does not apply to persons who have ever been convicted of a violent felony. It would prohibit the use of a former felony conviction to increase the statutorily allowable base range of punishment for a person subsequently convicted of a felony. Individuals who are currently incarcerated for felony sentences that were enhanced based on one or more former felony convictions, and whose sentences are greater than the maximum sentence that may currently be imposed for such felonies, may seek sentence modification in court. The new Article sets forth a detailed process for such sentence modification, including but not limited to requirements for a hearing, appointment of counsel for indigent petitioners, and notification of victims, and requires that the court impose a modified sentence no greater than the current maximum sentence which may be imposed on a person convicted of the same felony with no former felony convictions, and which results in no greater time served in prison than under the original sentence. It establishes an appeal procedure, provides an effective date, and contains a severability clause.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

**STATE QUESTION NO. 814
LEGISLATIVE
REFERENDUM NO. 375**

This measure seeks to amend Article 10, Section 40 of the Oklahoma Constitution (Section 40), which directs proceeds from the State's settlements with or judgments against tobacco companies. Currently, Section 40 directs 75% of proceeds to the Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust Fund (TSET Fund), where earnings may only be used for tobacco prevention programs, cancer research, and other such programs to maintain or improve the health of Oklahomans. Meanwhile, the remaining 25% of proceeds are directed to a separate fund for the Legislature (Legislative Fund). The Legislature can also direct some of that 25% to the Attorney General.

This measure amends Section 40 to reduce the percentage of proceeds that go into the TSET Fund from 75% to 25%. As a result, the remaining 75% will go to the Legislative Fund and the Legislature may continue to direct a portion to the Attorney General.

The measure would also restrict the use of the Legislative Fund. Section 40 currently states only that the Legislative Fund is subject to legislative appropriation. If this measure passes, money from the Legislative Fund must be used to get federal matching funds for Oklahoma's Medicaid Program.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE